

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME I

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	S-1
CHAPTER 1 PROPOSED PROJECT	1-1
1.1 Introduction.....	1-1
1.1.1 Environmental Lead Agency	1-1
1.1.2 Overview of the Proposed Project.....	1-2
1.1.3 Planning History of the Project.....	1-5
1.2 Purpose of the Proposed Project	1-11
1.3 Need for the Proposed Project.....	1-12
1.3.1 Capacity, Transportation Demand, and Safety	1-12
1.3.2 Existing Roadway Operational Deficiencies	1-38
1.3.3 Social Demands and Economic Development	1-41
1.3.4 Legislation.....	1-41
1.3.5 Modal Interrelationships and System Linkages	1-41
1.3.6 Air Quality Improvements	1-45
1.3.7 Independent Utility and Logical Termini.....	1-45
CHAPTER 2 PROJECT ALTERNATIVES	2-1
2.1 Project Description.....	2-1
2.2 Project Background.....	2-6
2.2.1 State Route 91	2-6
2.2.2 Interstate 15.....	2-7
2.2.3 Other Major Projects In the Project Area.....	2-7
2.3 Range of Alternatives.....	2-7
2.3.1 Project Build Alternatives	2-9
2.3.2 Common Features of the Build Alternatives.....	2-10
2.3.3 Unique Features of the Build Alternatives.....	2-33
2.3.4 Phasing Plans for the Build Alternatives	2-77
2.3.5 Transportation Systems Management and Traffic Demand Management.....	2-87
2.3.6 No Build Alternative	2-90
2.3.7 Comparison of the No Build and Build Alternatives	2-91
2.3.8 Alternatives Considered but Eliminated from Further Discussion	2-94
2.3.9 Related Projects and Other Projects in the Vicinity of the SR-91 CIP	2-101
2.3.10 Permits and Approvals Needed.....	2-108
CHAPTER 3 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES, AND AVOIDANCE, MINIMIZATION, AND/OR MITIGATION MEASURES	3-1
HUMAN ENVIRONMENT	3-1-1
3.1 Land Use	3-1-1
3.1.1 Existing and Future Land Uses	3-1-1
3.1.2 Consistency with Federal, State, Regional, and Local Plans	3-1-28
3.1.3 Parks and Recreational Facilities	3-1-52
3.1.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	3-1-76

3.2	Growth.....	3.2-1
3.2.1	Regulatory Setting	3.2-1
3.2.2	Affected Environment	3.2-1
3.2.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.2-9
3.2.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures.....	3.2-12
3.3	Farmlands/Timberlands	3.3-1
3.3.1	Regulatory Setting	3.3-1
3.3.2	Affected Environment	3.3-1
3.3.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.3-8
3.3.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures.....	3.3-22
3.4	Community Impacts	3.4-1
3.4.1	Community Character and Cohesion.....	3.4-1
3.4.2	Relocations and Real Property Acquisitions	3.4-35
3.4.3	Environmental Justice.....	3.4-49
3.5	Utilities/Emergency Services	3.5-1
3.5.1	Affected Environment	3.5-1
3.5.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3.5-4
3.5.3	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures.....	3.5-18
3.6	Traffic and Transportation/Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities.....	3.6-1
3.6.1	Regulatory Setting	3.6-1
3.6.2	Affected Environment	3.6-1
3.6.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.6-13
3.6.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures.....	3.6-26
3.7	Visual/Aesthetics	3.7-1
3.7.1	Regulatory Setting	3.7-1
3.7.2	Methodology.....	3.7-1
3.7.3	Affected Environment	3.7-2
3.7.4	Environmental Consequences.....	3.7-11
3.7.5	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures.....	3.7-26
3.8	Cultural Resources.....	3.8-1
3.8.1	Regulatory Setting	3.8-1
3.8.2	Affected Environment	3.8-1
3.8.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.8-9
3.8.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures.....	3.8-11
	PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	3.9-1
3.9	Hydrology and Floodplains	3.9-1
3.9.1	Regulatory Setting	3.9-1
3.9.2	Affected Environment	3.9-1
3.9.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.9-6
3.9.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures.....	3.9-26
3.10	Water Quality and Storm Water Runoff.....	3.10-1
3.10.1	Regulatory Setting	3.10-1
3.10.2	Affected Environment	3.10-4
3.10.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.10-20
3.10.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures.....	3.10-32
3.11	Geology/Soils/Seismic/Topography	3.11-1
3.11.1	Regulatory Setting	3.11-1
3.11.2	Affected Environment	3.11-1
3.11.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.11-22
3.11.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures.....	3.11-28

3.12 Paleontology 3.12-1

 3.12.1 Regulatory Setting..... 3.12-1

 3.12.2 Affected Environment..... 3.12-1

 3.12.3 Environmental Consequences 3.12-18

 3.12.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures 3.12-20

3.13 Hazardous Waste/Materials 3.13-1

 3.13.1 Regulatory Setting..... 3.13-1

 3.13.2 Affected Environment..... 3.13-2

 3.13.3 Environmental Consequences 3.13-11

 3.13.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures 3.13-21

3.14 Air Quality 3.14-1

 3.14.1 Regulatory Setting..... 3.14-1

 3.14.2 Affected Environment..... 3.14-2

 3.14.3 Environmental Consequences 3.14-11

 3.14.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures 3.14-38

 3.14.5 Climate Change..... 3.14-40

3.15 Noise 3.15-1

 3.15.1 Regulatory Setting..... 3.15-1

 3.15.2 Affected Environment..... 3.15-5

 3.15.3 Environmental Consequences 3.15-17

 3.15.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures 3.15-46

3.16 Energy 3.16-1

 3.16.1 Regulatory Setting..... 3.16-1

 3.16.2 Affected Environment..... 3.16-1

 3.16.3 Environmental Consequences 3.16-7

 3.16.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures 3.16-14

3.17 BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT..... 3.17-1

3.17 Natural Communities 3.17-1

 3.17.1 Regulatory Setting..... 3.17-1

 3.17.2 Affected Environment..... 3.17-1

 3.17.3 Environmental Consequences 3.17-15

 3.17.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures 3.17-21

3.18 Wetlands and Other Waters 3.18-1

 3.18.1 Regulatory Setting..... 3.18-1

 3.18.2 Affected Environment..... 3.18-2

 3.18.3 Environmental Consequences 3.18-5

 3.18.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures..... 3.18-10

3.19 Plant Species 3.19-1

 3.19.1 Regulatory Setting..... 3.19-1

 3.19.2 Affected Environment..... 3.19-1

 3.19.3 Environmental Consequences 3.19-11

 3.19.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures 3.19-15

3.20 Animal Species 3.20-1

 3.20.1 Regulatory Setting..... 3.20-1

 3.20.2 Affected Environment..... 3.20-2

 3.20.3 Environmental Consequences 3.20-10

 3.20.4 Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures 3.20-13

3.21 Threatened and Endangered Species..... 3.21-1

 3.21.1 Regulatory Setting..... 3.21-1

 3.21.2 Affected Environment..... 3.21-1

3.21.3	Environmental Consequences	3.21-9
3.21.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures	3.21-16
3.22	Invasive Species	3.22-1
3.22.1	Regulatory Setting	3.22-1
3.22.2	Affected Environment	3.22-1
3.22.3	Environmental Consequences.....	3.22-2
3.22.4	Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures.....	3.22-3
3.23	Relationship Between Local Short-Term Uses of the Human Environment and the Maintenance and Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity	3.23-1
3.23.1	Alternatives 1 and 2 (LPA).....	3.23-1
3.23.2	Short-term Losses and Impacts.....	3.23-1
3.23.3	Short-term Benefits	3.23-2
3.23.4	Long-term Losses and Impacts.....	3.23-2
3.23.5	Long-term Gains and Benefits.....	3.23-2
3.23.6	No Build Alternative	3.23-3
3.24	Irreversible and Irrecoverable Commitments of Resources That Would Be Involved in the Proposed Action.....	3.24-1
3.24.1	Alternatives 1 and 2 (LPA).....	3.24-1
3.24.2	No Build Alternative	3.24-2
3.25	Cumulative Impacts.....	3.25-1
3.25.1	Regulatory Setting	3.25-1
3.25.2	Methodology.....	3.25-1
3.25.3	Cumulative Projects.....	3.25-2
3.25.4	Resources for Which the Build Alternatives Would Not Contribute to Cumulative Impacts.....	3.25-5
3.25.5	Resources for Which the SR-91 CIP May Contribute to Cumulative Impacts.....	3.25-5
CHAPTER 4	CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT EVALUATION.....	4-1
4.1	Determining Significance Under the California Environmental Quality Act	4-1
4.2	Discussion of Significance of Impacts	4-2
4.2.1	No Impacts of the Proposed Project	4-2
4.2.2	Less Than Significant Effects of the Proposed Project	4-9
4.2.3	Significant Environmental Effects of the Proposed Project	4-18
4.2.4	Unavoidable Significant Environmental Effects of the Proposed Project.....	4-40
4.2.5	Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes.....	4-40
4.2.6	Mandatory Findings.....	4-40
4.3	Climate Change	4-42
4.3.1	Checklist Question: VII.....	4-42
4.4	Mitigation Measures for Significant Impacts Under CEQA	4-56
CHAPTER 5	COMMENTS AND COORDINATION.....	5-1
5.1	Introduction	5-1
5.2	Consultation and Coordination with Agencies	5-1
5.2.1	Consultation and Coordination with Cooperating and Participating Agencies	5-1
5.2.2	Consultation and Coordination with Public Agencies.....	5-3
5.2.3	Notice of Preparation.....	5-13

5.2.4 Notice of Intent 5-15

5.2.5 Scoping Meetings..... 5-15

5.2.6 Public Information Meetings 5-16

5.3 Interagency Coordination Regarding Air Quality 5-18

5.4 Native American Consultation and Coordination 5-18

CHAPTER 6 LIST OF PREPARERS 6-1

CHAPTER 7 DISTRIBUTION LIST 7-1

VOLUME II

APPENDIX A CEQA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST A-1

APPENDIX B RESOURCES EVALUATED RELATIVE TO THE
REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 4(F) B-1

APPENDIX C TITLE VI POLICY STATEMENT C-1

APPENDIX D SUMMARY OF RELOCATION BENEFITS D-1

APPENDIX E ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITMENTS RECORD E-1

APPENDIX F LIST OF ACRONYMS F-1

APPENDIX G LIST OF TECHNICAL STUDIES..... G-1

APPENDIX H REFERENCES H-1

APPENDIX I USFWS SPECIES LIST I-1

APPENDIX J UTILITY RELOCATIONS..... J-1

APPENDIX K 2008 RTP AND 2011 FTIP PROJECT LISTINGS K-1

APPENDIX L PROJECT FEATURES L-1

APPENDIX M CORRESPONDENCE WITH THE STATE HISTORIC
PRESERVATION OFFICER..... M-1

APPENDIX N ALTERNATIVE 2 (LPA) INITIAL PHASE N-1

This page intentionally left blank

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1 Project Vicinity	1-3
Figure 1-2 Major Investment Study – Recommended Locally Preferred Strategy	1-9
Figure 1-3 LOS Thresholds for a Basic Freeway Segment.....	1-15
Figure 2-1 Project Location on SR-91 and Interstate 15 (I-15)	2-3
Figure 2-2 Typical Section for Alternative 1 on SR-91	2-35
Figure 2-3 Typical Section for Alternative 1 on I-15	2-37
Figure 2-4 Project Features in the State Route 241 Interchange Area	2-39
Figure 2-5 Project Features at Green River Road	2-43
Figure 2-6 Project Features at Auto Center Drive/Maple Street.....	2-47
Figure 2-7 Project Features at Lincoln Avenue/Grand Boulevard.....	2-51
Figure 2-8 Project Features at Main Street	2-55
Figure 2-9 Project Features at McKinley/Pierce Street.....	2-59
Figure 2-10 Typical Section for the Alternative 2 (LPA) Project on SR-91.....	2-63
Figure 2-11 Typical Section for the Alternative 2 (LPA) Project on I-15	2-67
Figure 2-12 Toll Transponder Operation Under the Alternative 2 (LPA) Project.....	2-71
Figure 2-13 Existing SR-91 Express Lanes TOC and CSC.....	2-75
Figure 2-14 Phasing Plan for the Alternative 1 Project	2-81
Figure 2-15 Phasing Plan for the Alternative 2 (LPA) Project	2-85
Figure 2-16 Approved and In-Process Projects in the SR-91 CIP Area	2-103
Figure 3.1-1 Existing Land Uses	3.1-3
Figure 3.1-2 General Plan Land Use Designations.....	3.1-7
Figure 3.1-3 Alternative 1 Project General Plan Land Use Impacts.....	3.1-15
Figure 3.1-4 Alternative 2 (LPA) Project General Plan Land Use Impacts.....	3.1-21
Figure 3.2-1 County Population Growth Patterns – 1970 to 2030.....	3.2-3
Figure 3.2-2 City Population Growth Patterns – 1970 to 2030.....	3.2-3
Figure 3.3-1 Existing Farmlands in the Study Area.....	3.3-3
Figure 3.3-2 Farmlands Impacted by Alternative 1	3.3-9
Figure 3.3-3 Farmlands Impacted by Alternative 2 (LPA) Initial Phase	3.3-11
Figure 3.3-4 Farmlands Impacted by Alternative 2 (LPA).....	3.3-13
Figure 3.4-1 Community Impact Study Area and Census Tracts	3.4-3
Figure 3.4-2 Public and Community Facilities	3.4-17
Figure 3.4-3 Environmental Justice: Non-White Population.....	3.4-51
Figure 3.4-4 Environmental Justice: Hispanic Population.....	3.4-53
Figure 3.4-5 Environmental Justice: Poverty Population	3.4-55
Figure 3.4-7 Environmental Justice: Transit Dependent Population	3.4-59
Figure 3.7-1 Elevations and Landscape Units	3.7-31
Figure 3.7-2 Biological Conditions.....	3.7-33
Figure 3.7-3 View Location Map.....	3.7-41
Figure 3.7-4 Existing View A.....	3.7-43
Figure 3.7-5 Existing View B	3.7-45
Figure 3.7-6 Existing Views C and D.....	3.7-47
Figure 3.7-7 Existing View E	3.7-49
Figure 3.7-8 Existing View F.....	3.7-51
Figure 3.7-9 Existing View G.....	3.7-53
Figure 3.7-10 Key View 1	3.7-55
Figure 3.7-11 Key View 2	3.7-57
Figure 3.7-12 Key View 3	3.7-59
Figure 3.7-13 Key View 4	3.7-61

Figure 3.7-14 Key View 5	3.7-63
Figure 3.7-15 Key View 6	3.7-65
Figure 3.7-16 Key View 7	3.7-67
Figure 3.7-17 Key View 8	3.7-69
Figure 3.7-18 Aesthetic Features for Retaining Walls	3.7-71
Figure 3.7-19 Aesthetic Features for Sound Walls.....	3.7-73
Figure 3.7-20 Aesthetic Features for Bridge Structures	3.7-75
Figure 3.9-1 FEMA-Mapped 100-Year Floodplains	3.9-3
Figure 3.9-2 Floodplain Encroachments at the Santa Ana River Near Wardlow Wash	3.9-9
Figure 3.9-3 Floodplain Encroachment at Country Club Creek.....	3.9-17
Figure 3.9-4 Floodplain Encroachment at West Grand Boulevard	3.9-21
Figure 3.10-1 Subwatersheds and Surface Waters	3.10-5
Figure 3.10-2 Groundwater Management Zones.....	3.10-15
Figure 3.10-4 Proposed BMP Locations for the Initial Phase of Alternative 2 (LPA)	3.10-45
Figure 3.11-1 Regional Geologic Map.....	3.11-5
Figure 3.11-2 Earthquake Fault Zone Map	3.11-9
Figure 3.11-3 Mindeman Landslide	3.11-19
Figure 3.12-1 Paleontological Sensitivities	3.12-3
Figure 3.13-1 Hazardous Waste/Materials Sites of Potential Concern for Alternative 1	3.13-29
Figure 3.13-2 Hazardous Waste/Materials Sites of Potential Concern for Alternative 2 (LPA)	3.13-49
Figure 3.14-1 SCAQMD Air Monitoring Network within the South Coast Air Basin	3.14-7
Figure 3.14-2 National MSAT Emission Trends.....	3.14-27
Figure 3.15-1 Noise Measurement/Model Locations and Modeled Noise Barrier Locations.....	3.15-49
Figure 3.16-1 SR-91 CIP Study Area and SCAG Region Roadways	3.16-3
Figure 3.17-1 Biological Study Area.....	3.17-3
Figure 3.17-2 Locations of Natural Plant Communities of Special Concern	3.17-7
Figure 3.18-1 Jurisdictional Areas	3.18-13
Figure 3.19-1 Sensitive Plant Locations.....	3.19-5
Figure 3.19-2 Alternative 1 and 2 (LPA) Impacts to Sensitive Plant Locations	3.19-13
Figure 3.25-1 Locations of the Cumulative Projects	3.25-67
Figure 4-1 California GHG Inventory Forecast	4-57
Figure 4-2 Fleet CO ₂ Emissions Versus Highway Speed.....	4-57
Figure 4-3 Outcome of Strategic Growth Plan.....	4-59

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1 FHWA Approvals	1-1
Table 1.2 Regional Demographics.....	1-13
Table 1.3 Existing 2007 Mainline Traffic Volumes	1-18
Table 1.4 Existing 2007 Mainline Peak-Hour Performance	1-19
Table 1.5 Regional Vehicle Trip Generation Projections	1-20
Table 1.6 2015 No Build Freeway Mainline Peak-Hour LOS.....	1-22
Table 1.7 2035 No Build Alternative Daily Traffic Growth.....	1-24
Table 1.8 2035 No Build Alternative Mainline Traffic Volumes	1-25
Table 1.9 2035 No Build Alternative Freeway Mainline Peak-Hour LOS	1-27
Table 1.10 SR-91 Travel Time and Travel Speed Summary	1-30
Table 1.11 Summary of Accident Rates	1-35
Table 1.12 Existing 2007 Truck Volumes	1-44
Table 2.1 Other Related Major Projects.....	2-8
Table 2.2 Typical Mainline One-Directional Cross Sections for the No Build Alternative and Alternatives 1 and 2 (Locally Preferred Alternative)	2-11
Table 2.3 Structures Work under Alternative 1	2-13
Table 2.4 Structures Work under Alternative 2 (Locally Preferred Alternative).....	2-14
Table 2.5 Drainage Structures Work Under Alternatives 1 and 2 (Locally Preferred Alternative).....	2-16
Table 2.6 Storm Water Effect Areas for Alternatives 1 and 2 (Locally Preferred Alternative).....	2-20
Table 2.7 Estimated Quantities of Treatment Best Management Practices for Alternatives 1 and 2 (Locally Preferred Alternative)	2-20
Table 2.8 Cost Estimates for Temporary and Permanent Best Management Practices for Alternatives 1 and 2 (Locally Preferred Alternative)	2-21
Table 2.9 Alternative 1 Average Retaining Wall Heights on SR-91 and I-15.....	2-22
Table 2.10 Alternative 2 (Locally Preferred Alternative) Average Retaining Wall Heights on SR-91 and I-15.....	2-24
Table 2.11 Recommended Noise Barrier Locations on SR-91 and I-15 for Alternatives 1 and 2 (Locally Preferred Alternative)	2-27
Table 2.12 California Highway Patrol Median Refuge Locations for Alternatives 1 and 2 (Locally Preferred Alternative)	2-30
Table 2.13 Proposed Ramp and Connector Closures along SR-91.....	2-31
Table 2.14 Alternative 1 Design Variation Combinations.....	2-49
Table 2.15 Alternative 1 Summary of Costs.....	2-57
Table 2.16 Alternative 2 (Locally Preferred Alternative) Design Variation Combinations	2-69
Table 2.17 Alternative 2 (Locally Preferred Alternative) Summary of Costs	2-77
Table 2.18 Anticipated Funding Sources	2-78
Table 2.19 Comparison of the Alternatives	2-92
Table 2.20 Summary of Rejected Value Analysis Study Alternatives	2-96
Table 2.21 Transit Projects	2-101
Table 2.22 Permits and Approvals Needed.....	2-108
Table 3.1.1 Existing Land Uses	3.1-5
Table 3.1.2 General Plan Impacts by Build Alternative and Land Use Designations (acres).....	3.1-27
Table 3.1.3 Adopted Demographic Forecasts	3.1-30
Table 3.1.4 Summary of Specific Plans in the SR-91 CIP Study Area	3.1-35

Table 3.1.5 Parks and Recreation Resources.....	3.1-54
Table 3.1.6 Summary of Temporary Occupancies and Permanent Easements at Park and Recreational Facilities and Section 4(f) and 6(f) Properties by Alternative	3.1-62
Table 3.1.7 Why the Other Parks and Recreation Resources Would Not Be Impacted by the SR-91 CIP Build Alternatives.....	3.1-68
Table 3.2.1 Jobs Growth from 2000 to 2035.....	3.2-7
Table 3.2.2 Household Growth from 2000 to 2035.....	3.2-8
Table 3.2.3 Travel Patterns.....	3.2-8
Table 3.3.1 SR-91 CIP Study Area Farmland Acres by Land Category and Location	3.3-6
Table 3.3.2 Permanent Impacts to Designated Farmlands (acres).....	3.3-15
Table 3.3.3 Form NRCS-CPA-106 Final Scoring.....	3.3-18
Table 3.3.4 Temporary Impacts to Designated Farmlands (acres).....	3.3-21
Table 3.4.1 Age Distribution	3.4-10
Table 3.4.2 Ethnic Composition.....	3.4-12
Table 3.4.3 Demographic Characteristics from the 2000 Census for the Study Area Cities and Counties, and the State	3.4-14
Table 3.4.4 Employment in the Study Area Jurisdictions	3.4-20
Table 3.4.5 Travel Patterns in the Study Area Jurisdictions	3.4-21
Table 3.4.6 Summary of Property Acquisitions for the Build Alternatives	3.4-25
Table 3.4.7 Acquisition of Homes Under Alternative 1 and Its Design Variations	3.4-26
Table 3.4.8 Acquisition of Homes Under the Alternative 2 (LPA) and Its Design Variations.....	3.4-27
Table 3.4.9 Business Displacements Under Alternative 1 and Its Design Variations.....	3.4-28
Table 3.4.10 Business Displacements Under Alternative 2 (LPA) and Its Design Variations.....	3.4-29
Table 3.4.11 Displacements of Homes and Residents by Alternative 1.....	3.4-39
Table 3.4.12 Displacements of Homes and Residents by Alternative 2 (LPA).....	3.4-39
Table 3.4.13 Business Parcel and Employee Displacements by Alternatives 1 and 2 (LPA) and Their Design Variations.....	3.4-40
Table 3.4.14 Storage Facility Displacements by Alternatives 1 and 2 (LPA) and Their Design Variations.....	3.4-42
Table 3.4.15 Property Tax Losses Under Alternatives 1 and 2 (LPA) and Their Design Variations	3.4-44
Table 3.4.16 Potential Annual Sales Tax Revenue Loss Under Alternative 1 and Its Design Variations	3.4-46
Table 3.4.17 Potential Annual Sales Tax Revenue Loss Under Alternative 2 (LPA) and Its Design Variations.....	3.4-46
Table 3.4.18 Estimated Construction Employment.....	3.4-47
Table 3.4.19 Comparison of Low-Income and Minority Populations for the Study Area Census Tracts.....	3.4-50
Table 3.5.1 Police and Sheriff’s Stations in the Study Area	3.5-2
Table 3.5.2 Local Fire Stations in the Study Area.....	3.5-3
Table 3.5.3 Hospitals and Medical Facilities in the Study Area	3.5-4
Table 3.5.4 Potential Utility Relocations, Removals, and Protection In-place under Both Alternatives 1 and 2 (LPA)	3.5-10
Table 3.5.5 Additional Potential Utility Relocations Under Alternative 2 (LPA)	3.5-16
Table 3.6.1 Freeway Levels of Service	3.6-38
Table 3.6.2 Stop-Controlled Intersection Levels of Service.....	3.6-38
Table 3.6.3 Summary of Existing 2007 and 2015 and 2035 No Build Daily VMT, VHT, and VHD.....	3.6-39

Table 3.6.4 Existing 2007 and 2015 and 2035 No Build Peak-Hour Corridor Travel Time Summary	3.6-40
Table 3.6.5 Existing 2007 and 2015 and 2035 No Build Freeway Mainline Peak-Hour Levels of Service.....	3.6-41
Table 3.6.6 Existing 2007 and 2015 and 2035 No Build Freeway Ramp Peak-Hour Levels of Service	3.6-43
Table 3.6.7 Existing 2007 and 2015 and 2035 No Build Intersection Peak-Hour Levels of Service	3.6-45
Table 3.6.8 Existing Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities	3.6-47
Table 3.6.9 Existing 2007, 2015 No Build and 2015 Initial Phase of Alternative 2 (LPA) Daily VMT, VHT, and VHD	3.6-50
Table 3.6.10 Existing 2007, 2015 No Build, and 2015 Initial Phase of Alternative 2 (LPA) Peak-Hour Corridor Travel Time Summary	3.6-51
Table 3.6.11 Freeway Mainline Peak-Hour Levels of Service for Existing 2007, 2015 No Build, and the 2015 Initial Phase of Alternative 2 (LPA)	3.6-53
Table 3.6.12 Freeway Ramp Peak-Hour Levels of Service for Existing 2007, 2015 No Build, and the 2015 Initial Phase of Alternative 2 (LPA)	3.6-55
Table 3.6.13 Intersection Peak-Hour Levels of Service for Existing 2007, 2015 No Build, and the 2015 Initial Phase of Alternative 2 (LPA)	3.6-57
Table 3.6.14 Auto Center Drive/Maple Street Design Variation 2015 Intersection Analysis.....	3.6-59
Table 3.6.15 Lincoln Avenue Design Variation 2015 Intersection Analysis.....	3.6-59
Table 3.6.16 2015 Alternative 2 (LPA) Mid-City Access Design Variation with Base Assumptions at Maple Street and Lincoln Avenue Intersection Analysis	3.6-60
Table 3.6.17 2015 Alternative 2 (LPA) Mid-City Access Design Variation with Maple Street Design Variation and Base Assumptions at Lincoln Avenue Intersection Analysis	3.6-60
Table 3.6.18 2015 Alternative 2 (LPA) Mid-City Access Design Variation with Base Assumption at Maple Street and Lincoln Avenue Design Variation Intersection Analysis.....	3.6-61
Table 3.6.19 2015 Alternative 2 (LPA) Mid-City Access Design Variation with Maple Street and Lincoln Avenue Design Variation Intersection Analysis.....	3.6-61
Table 3.6.20 Existing 2007, 2035 No Build, and 2035 Alternative 1 and 2 (LPA) Daily VMT, VHT, and VHD.....	3.6-62
Table 3.6.21 Existing 2007, 2035 No Build, and 2035 Alternative 1 and 2 (LPA) Peak-Hour Corridor Travel Time Summary.....	3.6-64
Table 3.6.22 Freeway Mainline Peak-Hour Levels of Service for Existing 2007, 2035 No Build, and Design Year 2035 with Alternatives 1 and 2 (LPA).....	3.6-65
Table 3.6.23 Freeway Ramp Peak-Hour Levels of Service for Design Year 2035 No Build and Design Year 2035 with Alternatives 1 and 2 (LPA).....	3.6-67
Table 3.6.24 Intersection Peak-Hour Levels of Service for Design Year 2035 No Build and Design Year 2035 with Alternatives 1 and 2 (LPA).....	3.6-69
Table 3.6.25 Auto Center Drive/Maple Street Design Variation 2035 Intersection Analysis.....	3.6-71
Table 3.6.26 Lincoln Avenue Design Variation 2035 Intersection Analysis.....	3.6-71
Table 3.6.27 2035 Alternative 2 (LPA) Mid-City Access Design Variation with Base Assumptions at Maple Street and Lincoln Avenue Intersection Analysis	3.6-72
Table 3.6.28 2035 Alternative 2 (LPA) Mid-City Access Design Variation with Maple Street Design Variation and Base Assumptions at Lincoln Avenue Intersection Analysis	3.6-72

Table 3.6.29 2035 Alternative 2 (LPA) Mid-City Access Design Variation with Base Assumption at Maple Street and Lincoln Avenue Design Variation Intersection Analysis	3.6-73
Table 3.6.30 2035 Alternative 2 (LPA) Mid-City Access Design Variation with Maple Street and Lincoln Avenue Design Variation Intersection Analysis	3.6-73
Table 3.6.31 Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities under Alternatives 1 and 2 (LPA)	3.6-74
Table 3.6.32 Summary of Effects to Undercrossing Structures	3.6-83
Table 3.6.33 Summary of Effects to Overcrossing Structures	3.6-83
Table 3.6.34 Summary of SR-91 Delays for Connector Closures.....	3.6-84
Table 3.6.35 Temporary Ramp Closures and Resulting Travel Time Increases on SR-91	3.6-84
Table 3.6.36 Intersection Peak-Hour Levels of Service with Proposed Ramp Closures	3.6-85
Table 3.7.1 Existing Biological Conditions	3.7-5
Table 3.7.2 Existing and With Project Visual Quality	3.7-14
Table 3.8.1 Sources Consulted During the Records Search	3.8-3
Table 3.8.2 Cultural Resources Identified by the Records Searches, Standard Research, and the Surveys as in the APE for the SR-91 CIP.....	3.8-7
Table 3.10.1 Surface Water Quality Objectives for Inland Surface Waters.....	3.10-9
Table 3.10.2 Average Santa Ana River Water Quality by Water Year (October through September).....	3.10-12
Table 3.10.3 Groundwater Quality Objectives for Groundwater Management Zones...3.10-19	
Table 3.10.4 Existing and Proposed Impervious Areas for Alternatives 1 and 2 (LPA)	3.10-22
Table 3.10.5 Proposed Construction Site BMPs for Alternatives 1 and 2 (LPA)	3.10-29
Table 3.11.1 Bedrock Formations and Surface Geologic Units Along the Project Segments of SR-91 and I-15	3.11-3
Table 3.11.2 Summary of Cut and Fill Amounts	3.11-25
Table 3.13.1 Hazardous Waste/Materials Sites of Potential Concern	3.13-4
Table 3.13.2 Potential Impacts to Alternatives 1 and 2 (LPA) and Their Design Variations.....	3.13-14
Table 3.13.3 Agricultural Land Use Parcels with Potential Soil Contamination	3.13-19
Table 3.14.1 National and California Ambient Air Quality Standards	3.14-5
Table 3.14.2 Air Quality Levels Monitored at the Riverside-Rubidoux Station.....	3.14-9
Table 3.14.3 Attainment Status of Criteria Pollutants in the South Coast Air Basin	3.14-10
Table 3.14.4 Alternative 1 Regional Vehicle Emissions (lbs/day).....	3.14-13
Table 3.14.5 Alternative 2 (LPA) Regional Vehicle Emissions (lbs/day)	3.14-13
Table 3.14.6 Ambient PM _{2.5} Monitoring Data (µg/m ³).....	3.14-16
Table 3.14.7 Ambient PM ₁₀ Monitoring Data (µg/m ³).....	3.14-17
Table 3.14.8 Existing and No Build Average Daily Traffic and Truck Volumes	3.14-18
Table 3.14.9 Existing Intersection Levels of Service	3.14-19
Table 3.14.10 2015 Highway Traffic Volumes.....	3.14-19
Table 3.14.11 2035 Highway Traffic Volumes.....	3.14-19
Table 3.14.12 2015 A.M. Intersection Levels of Service.....	3.14-20
Table 3.14.13 2015 P.M. Intersection Levels of Service	3.14-20
Table 3.14.14 2035 A.M. Intersection Levels of Service.....	3.14-21
Table 3.14.15 2035 P.M. Intersection Levels of Service	3.14-21
Table 3.14.16 Daily PM _{2.5} Emissions Along the SR-91 Corridor (lbs/day).....	3.14-22
Table 3.14.17 Daily PM ₁₀ Emissions Along the SR-91 Corridor (lbs/day)	3.14-23
Table 3.14.18 Daily PM _{2.5} Emissions in the South Coast Air Basin (lbs/day).....	3.14-23
Table 3.14.19 Daily PM ₁₀ Emissions in the South Coast Air Basin (lbs/day)	3.14-23

Table 3.14.20 2015 Initial Phase of Alternative 1 Changes in MSAT Emissions 3.14-34

Table 3.14.21 2015 Initial Phase of Alternative 2 (LPA) Changes in MSAT Emissions 3.14-34

Table 3.14.22 2015 Alternative 2 (LPA) Changes in MSAT Emissions 3.14-35

Table 3.14.23 2035 Alternative 1 Changes in MSAT Emissions 3.14-35

Table 3.14.24 2035 Alternative 2 (LPA) Changes in MSAT Emissions 3.14-36

Table 3.15.1 Noise Abatement Criteria for use in NEPA-23 CFR 772 Analysis 3.15-3

Table 3.15.2 Noise Levels of Common Activities..... 3.15-3

Table 3.15.3 Summary of Short-Term Measurements..... 3.15-7

Table 3.15.4 Summary of Long-Term Monitoring at Location 2 3.15-9

Table 3.15.5 Summary of Long-Term Monitoring at Location 7 3.15-9

Table 3.15.6 Summary of Long-Term Monitoring at Location 16 3.15-10

Table 3.15.7 Summary of Long-Term Monitoring at Location 26 3.15-10

Table 3.15.8 Summary of Long-Term Monitoring at Location 31 3.15-11

Table 3.15.9 Summary of Long-Term Monitoring at Location 34 3.15-11

Table 3.15.10 Summary of Long-Term Monitoring at Location 41 3.15-12

Table 3.15.11 Summary of Long-Term Monitoring at Location 47 3.15-12

Table 3.15.12 Existing Noise Levels, dBA L_{eq} 3.15-13

Table 3.15.13 Projected Traffic Noise Levels, dBA L_{eq} 3.15-19

Table 3.15.14 Noise Barrier Feasibility and Reasonableness for Alternative 1 3.15-28

Table 3.15.15 Noise Barriers Feasibility and Reasonableness for the Initial Phase of Alternative 1 3.15-31

Table 3.15.16 Noise Barriers Feasibility and Reasonableness for Alternative 2 (LPA)..... 3.15-34

Table 3.15.17 Noise Barrier Feasibility and Reasonableness for the Initial Phase of Alternative 2 (LPA)..... 3.15-37

Table 3.15.18 Recommended Barriers for Alternative 1 3.15-42

Table 3.15.19 Recommended Barriers for the Initial Phase of Alternative 1 3.15-43

Table 3.15.20 Recommended Barriers for Alternative 2 (LPA)..... 3.15-44

Table 3.15.21 Recommended Barriers for the Initial Phase of Alternative 2 (LPA)..... 3.15-45

Table 3.15.22 Construction Equipment Noise 3.15-45

Table 3.16.1 Annual Transportation Energy Consumption in the SCAG Region 3.16-2

Table 3.16.2 SR-91 CIP Study Area Daily Fuel Consumption Comparison 3.16-9

Table 3.16.3 SCAG Region Daily Fuel Consumption Comparison 3.16-9

Table 3.16.4 SR-91 CIP Study Area Indirect Energy Comparison..... 3.16-11

Table 3.16.5 SCAG Region Indirect Energy Comparison 3.16-12

Table 3.17.1 Vegetation Communities in the BSA..... 3.17-5

Table 3.17.2 Permanent Effects on Natural Communities of Special Concern (acres)..... 3.17-16

Table 3.17.3 Temporary Effects on Natural Communities of Special Concern (acres)..... 3.17-20

Table 3.18.1 Potential Corps Jurisdictional and Nonjurisdictional Areas (acres) 3.18-3

Table 3.18.2 Permanent Impacts of Alternatives 1 and 2 (LPA) to Jurisdictional Areas (acres)..... 3.18-8

Table 3.18.3 Temporary Impacts of Alternative 1 and 2 (LPA) Projects to Jurisdictional Areas (acres) 3.18-9

Table 3.20.1 Special-Status Wildlife Species Potentially Occurring or Known to Occur within and in the Vicinity of the BSA 3.20-3

Table 3.21.1 Summary of Threatened and Endangered Plant and Animal Species 3.21-3

Table 3.21.2 Permanent Impacts to Coastal California Gnatcatcher-Designated Critical Habitat (with Constituent Elements) (acres) 3.21-11

Table 3.21.3 Permanent Indirect Impacts to Least Bell’s Vireo (acres).....	3.21-12
Table 3.21.4 Temporary Impacts to Coastal California Gnatcatcher-Designated Critical Habitat in Orange County (acres)	3.21-14
Table 3.21.5 Temporary Indirect Impacts to Least Bell’s Vireo (acres)	3.21-15
Table 3.25.1 Summary of Transportation Projects in the SR-91 CIP Study Area	3.25-41
Table 3.25.2 Summary of Land Development and Nontransportation Infrastructure Projects in the SR-91 CIP Study Area	3.25-53
Table 3.25.3 Resources for Which the Build Alternatives Would not Contribute to Cumulative Impacts	3.25-61
Table 4.1 Noise Barriers Not Capable of Reducing Noise to Future No Project Levels.....	4-35
Table 4.2 SR-91 CIP Study Area and SCAG Region CO ₂ Emissions	4-46
Table 4.3 SR-91 Corridor Travel Time Summary.....	4-49
Table 4.4 Climate Change Strategies	4-53
Table 5.1 Summary of Input from Cooperating and Participating Agencies	5-4
Table 5.2 SR-91 CIP Meeting Summaries	5-6